

Citation for published version:

Boge, K, Thomas, N & Jacobsen, P 2021, 'Is Mindfulness for Psychosis harmful? Deconstructing a myth', *British Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 218, no. 2, pp. 71-72. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2020.165>

DOI:

[10.1192/bjp.2020.165](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2020.165)

Publication date:

2021

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2020.165)

This article has been published in British Journal of Psychiatry <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2020.165> This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © 2020 Cambridge University Press.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Is Mindfulness for Psychosis harmful? Deconstructing a Myth

Kerem Böge^{1*}, Neil Thomas^{2*}, Pamela Jacobsen^{3*}

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Germany

² Centre for Mental Health, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

³ Department of Psychology, University of Bath, United Kingdom

*Shared authorship

Corresponding author:

Dr. Kerem Böge, M. Sc. Psych.

Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy

Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

Campus Benjamin Franklin

Hindenburgdamm 30, 12203 Berlin

Tel.: 0049 30 450 517 789

Email: kerem.boege@charite.de

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

No potential conflicts of interest with regard to research, authorship, and publication of this article exist.

Funding

The authors did not receive financial support of any sort.

Biographical details

Dr. Kerem Böge - Dr. Böge is a clinical psychologist, researcher, and lecturer focusing on the development of novel psychotherapeutic approaches, their process and outcome for schizophrenia spectrum disorders.

Prof. Dr. Neil Thomas - Prof. Thomas is the deputy director of the Centre for Mental Health and a researcher on psychological interventions for psychosis.

Dr. Pamela Jacobsen – Dr. Jacobsen is a clinical psychologist and researcher specializing in psychological therapies for psychosis, including CBT and mindfulness-based approaches.

Is Mindfulness for Psychosis harmful? Deconstructing a Myth

Summary

Mindfulness-based therapies are increasingly available for a range of mental health disorders e.g. depression. However, there remain concerns about the potential for mindfulness to exacerbate psychosis, despite a growing body of literature demonstrating effectiveness. This may relate to long-standing perceptions about the suitability of offering psychological therapies to people with psychosis.

Is Mindfulness for Psychosis harmful? Deconstructing a Myth

People with psychosis always seem to get everything last. Cognitive therapy for depression and anxiety disorders were well-established in the evidence base by the 1980s, however Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy (CBT) for psychosis did not make it into clinical guidelines in the UK until 20 years later and another 12 years after that in Germany. Furthermore, relative to common mental disorders such as anxiety and depression, implementation of these guidelines for psychosis has been much more limited in routine clinical practice. Similarly, whilst Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) is now recommended in the NICE (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; www.nice.org.uk/) guidelines for the prevention of relapse in depression, concerns from clinicians that mindfulness is harmful for people with psychosis or can trigger psychotic episodes in vulnerable individuals are common (1).

What do we know about harm in mindfulness-based interventions?

Psychological therapy is one of the key pillars in the treatment of a wide range of mental illnesses, however information about the potential adverse side effects of psychotherapeutic therapies still remain less systematically studied than for medications and medical devices and lacks agreed terminology. In this context, decisions about what to provide to whom may be influenced more by presumptions about what may happen than be informed by the available data. A variety of factors have been identified as potential adverse effects of psychotherapy such as a lack of clinical improvement, symptom deterioration, development of new symptoms, fear of seeking future treatment, and maladaptive dependency on therapists (2). Within the literature, harm has been defined as any “sustained deterioration that is caused directly by the psychological intervention” (2).

Using this definition from Duggan et al. (2), it is therefore important to distinguish between short-term discomfort, which can be considered part of therapeutic growth, and serious or long-term harms (serious adverse effects). What we encounter in mindfulness practice is often challenging, as we explore deeper through the many layers of our human experience, much of which we may be used to keeping shut away. Mindfulness therefore represents a journey of re-acquaintance with difficult thoughts and emotions that we may have become disconnected from. Short-lived periods of discomfort should therefore be the rule, rather than the exception, for people engaging in mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs). However, a recent review of clinical trials of MBIs concluded that adverse effects were overall rare (0-10% of all participants), and not found to be directly attributable to the mindfulness intervention (3). Baer et al. (3) therefore conclude that mindfulness can be uncomfortable without being harmful.

Why specific concerns about mindfulness for psychosis?

Historical concerns about use of mindfulness in psychosis can perhaps be linked to the over-reliance on early uncontrolled case study evidence which suggested that people developed psychotic episodes after taking part in meditation retreats (1). For example, Walsh and Roche (4) report three cases of apparent psychotic relapse in people diagnosed with schizophrenia who had taken part in intensive meditation retreat. The meditation retreats were described as involving ‘many hours each day of sitting and walking meditation and total silence, without communication of any kind (even eye contact)’ - (p.1085), and up to ‘18 hours of meditation a day’ - (p.1086) over the course of a 2-week retreat. This bears little resemblance to the intensity and mode of delivery which would be typical of MBIs in mental health care settings. Furthermore, we know that sensory deprivation, social isolation, fasting, and sleep deprivation may themselves be risk factors for relapse in psychosis, and so these are likely to have been the riskiest aspects of the retreat rather than the meditation itself.

What does the current literature on mindfulness for psychosis show?

There have been at least a dozen randomized trials of MBIs for psychosis, with a combined sample size of over 1300, as well as several other trials of interventions in which mindfulness exercises are used (e.g., acceptance and commitment therapy; compassion focused therapy). A recent meta-analysis of trial results indicated that interventions including mindfulness as a primary component show beneficial effects in various symptom dimensions

in contrast to treatment-as-usual and waitlist conditions (5). This indicates that, on average, mindfulness is more likely to be beneficial than harmful in psychosis. Furthermore, examination of reports of study withdrawals show no evidence of withdrawals arising due to psychotic exacerbation from therapy, and trials have consistently shown a similar rate of withdrawals between mindfulness and control arms. Studies have also shown rates of treatment drop out from MBIs to be no greater than for compared treatments. A series of studies have additionally tracked hospital admissions following mindfulness integrated with psychoeducation and found that mindfulness was associated with a similar or lower hospitalization rates during and following therapy compared with control groups.

What can we take from this?

The belief that it is dangerous to invite people with psychosis to intentionally ‘turn towards’ their experiences remains present among clinicians and is perhaps connected to fears that it is dangerous to talk to people about their delusional beliefs or unusual experiences because this exacerbates their symptoms. There is a rich history of the ‘othering’ of psychotic experiences in psychiatry as something enigmatic and apart from normal human experience. However, service user advocacy groups have fought hard to overturn this fallacy, to be viewed not as less-than-human enigmas but as fully human subjects. Turning towards our inner experiences may often be challenging, but there is no rationale for psychotic symptoms, such as voices or delusions, to be placed in a separate ‘category’ apart from normal human experience. In fact, there is now increasing evidence for the use of mindfulness in the treatment of psychosis demonstrating safety, acceptability and clinical efficacy. People with psychosis are among the most marginalized and discriminated against within society, and unfortunately experience significant health inequalities both in their physical health outcomes, e.g. reduced life expectancy, and in the insufficient access they often have to evidence-based psychological therapies. A paternalistic attitude amongst clinicians about ‘protecting’ people from potentially harmful effects of psychological treatments can no longer be considered benevolent if these attitudes are unfounded and based on assumptions about the ‘otherness’ of psychotic experiences. We argue that early uncontrolled case studies reporting harmful effects of meditation for people with psychosis, may have coalesced with ongoing clinician concerns about the viability of psychotherapeutic approaches in the treatment of psychosis. This may have led to an under-development of the evidence base over the last 20 years, particularly with respect to a paucity of large, well-conducted randomized controlled trials of mindfulness for

psychosis. There is, however, clear interest in the question of the effectiveness of mindfulness for psychosis, given that numerous meta-analyses and systematic reviews have been published in the field (at least 10 review articles since 2013.) Existing data show that mindfulness for psychosis can be implemented safely, if delivered by experienced clinicians with appropriate mindfulness training and supervision, within both inpatient and community routine care settings. Adaptations to delivering mindfulness for psychosis include delivery in smaller group sizes, shorter duration of meditations, avoiding prolonged periods of silence, and using basic anchoring techniques and easily accessible and simple language. For future research, we suggest a priority should be better systematic assessment of side effects, as well as adverse events of mindfulness for psychosis in large trials, in line with the recommendations of Baer et al (3), who noted that the potential harms of mindfulness are an under-researched topic.

This will facilitate an improved understanding of the processes and mechanisms of psychotherapeutic change within mindfulness-based interventions for psychosis, to allow the field to develop and evolve according to evidence-based practice. In the interim, we recommend that clients with psychosis are not denied access to mindfulness-based treatments purely on the basis of their diagnosis and/or symptom profile.

References

1. Dyga K, Stupak R. Meditation and psychosis: trigger or cure? *Archives of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy* (2015) 17(3):48-58. doi:10.12740/app/58976
2. Duggan C, Parry G, McMurrin M, Davidson K, Dennis J. The recording of adverse events from psychological treatments in clinical trials: evidence from a review of NIHR-funded trials. *Trials* (2014) 15(1). doi:10.1186/1745-6215-15-335
3. Baer R, Crane C, Miller E, Kuyken W. Doing no harm in mindfulness-based programs: Conceptual issues and empirical findings. *Clinical Psychology Review* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2019.01.001
4. Walsh R, Roche L. Precipitation of acute psychotic episodes by intensive meditation in individuals with a history of schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry* (1979) 136, 1085-6.
5. Jansen JE, Gleeson J, Bendall S, Rice S, Alvarez-Jimenez M. Acceptance- and mindfulness-based interventions for persons with psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* (2020) 215, 25-37. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2019.11.016